

Van Buren County Board of Road Commissioners

January 23, 2020

Regular Meeting - Administrative Office, Lawrence, Michigan

BE IT REMEMBERED: That on the 23rd day of January, 2020 at 5:00 PM, E.D.T., the Board of County Road Commissioners for the County of Van Buren met in regular session in the offices in Lawrence, Michigan.

PRESENT: Road Commissioners Boze, Burleson, Kinney, and Moffat
Finance Director Linnea Rader
Highway Engineer Barry Anttila
Operations Director Greg Brucks
Fleet & Facilities Superintendent Gary Rohacs
Administrative Assistant-Board Secretary Jill Brien

GUESTS: Daniel F. Bishop
Bill Van Tassel, Alma Township
Mike Chappell, County Commissioner
Steven Rogusta, Village of Breedsville
Linda Norton, Columbia Township
Leigh Schultz, Miller Canfield
Nick Ricketts, Michigan Paving & Materials

ABSENT: Road Commissioner Askew (excused)

Chairman Kinney called the meeting to order at 5:00 PM.

Moffat requested that Item #15, Proposed Policy 2020-01 - Uncertified Road Maintenance Contracts Policy, be moved to Item #5A.

Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to approve the revised Agenda.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to approve the Official Minutes of the January 9, 2020 meeting as presented by Board Secretary Brien.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

The Chairman opened the meeting to public comment. None was received.

Supervisor Bill VanTassel addressed the Board relating to the proposed Policy regarding Uncertified Road Maintenance Contracts. VanTassel explained that Rose Lane is an uncertified road on which a special assessment district has been created. The Road Commission has provided maintenance since approximately 2005. VanTassel asked the Board not to turn its back on the residents by ceasing winter maintenance mid-way through the season. At the very least, he hoped that the Road Commission could continue through this winter season. Brucks confirmed the intent and reasoning behind the proposed policy to be effective May 1, 2020, if adopted by the Board.

VanTassel also requested confirmation from the Board that tree trimming could be contracted out by the Township without having to go through the Road Commission. This was confirmed by Brucks, who further clarified that tree removal/trimming operations can be contracted out by the Township only if the proper permit(s) are in place.

Further discussion ensued regarding the proposed Uncertified Road Maintenance Contracts Policy. The effective date of the Policy was discussed by the Board and staff as the goal is not to "hang anyone out to dry". It is Brucks' opinion that Road Commission staff needs to focus on those roads we are statutorily required to maintain. Moffat

requested a list of roads to be given to him at the next regular meeting. Motion by Boze to adopt Uncertified Road Maintenance Contracts Policy. Roll call vote:

Burleson: Nay
Moffat: Nay
Kinney: Aye
Boze: Aye

MOTION NOT CARRIED.

Moffat requested that in order to further consider the proposed Policy that it be placed on the Agenda at a future meeting for re-consideration when all Members are present.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Discussion held regarding permitting contractors to work in the right of way and who is responsible to clean up after them if they do not do so themselves.

Kinney discussed that board attorney responsibilities have been turned over to Miller Canfield. Questioned the process by which employment law files can be transferred from Varnum Law to Miller Canfield, which questions were answered by Attorney Schultz. Kinney reviewed Miller Canfield's engagement letter and asked if there were any questions regarding the contents. Other terms of engagement options were discussed with Attorney Schultz, such as a retainer, hourly rates, etc. Attorney Schultz will look into other options offered by Miller Canfield. Motion by Moffat to retain Miller Canfield as set forth in the engagement letter from Attorney Leigh Schultz dated January 10, 2020.

Discussion paused to go to bid openings.

The following bids were opened at 5:30 PM:

- 27th Street between 44th Avenue and 48th Avenue, Almema Township, remove existing structure and install structural plate aluminum box culvert:

Scott Pavlak Excavating	\$122,671.00
Brad Thomas Excavating	\$101,198.75

- Valley View Subdivision South of 60th Avenue, Antwerp Township, (Option 1: Overlay; Option 2: Mill and Pave):

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$190,581.25
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$155,980.00
Kalin Construction	\$340,875.00

- 49th Avenue from 27th Street to 29.50th Street, Antwerp Township, HMA overlay with 2' gravel shoulders:

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$108,697.00
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$96,536.00
Kalin Construction	\$119,842.00

- Drape Road from 63rd Avenue to 66th Avenue, Antwerp Township, HMA overlay with 2' gravel shoulders:

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$75,830.00
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$57,982.00
Kalin Construction	\$81,800.00

- 62nd Avenue from M40 to CR657, Antwerp Township, HMA overlay with 2' gravel shoulders:

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$194,534.75
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$180,200.00
Kalin Construction	\$218,551.00

- 72nd Avenue from 26th Street to dead-end, Antwerp Township, HMA overlay with 2' gravel shoulders:

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$67,128.00
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$50,462.00
Kalin Construction	\$72,058.20

- CR380 from CR681 to Breedsville Village limits, Columbia Township, HMA overlay with 3' gravel shoulders:

Rieth-Riley Construction	\$172,448.00
Michigan Paving & Materials	\$138,311.00
Kalin Construction	\$173,490.00

Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to authorize the award of the bid by the Interim Managing Directors upon review and tabulation by engineering staff, in the best interest of the Van Buren County Road Commission.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Board Secretary read back the Motion currently on the table: Motion by Moffat to retain Miller Canfield as set forth in the engagement letter from Attorney Leigh Schultz dated January 10, 2020. The motion was seconded by Boze.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

The Board discussed with Attorney Schultz the options for terms of engagement and it was decided by general consensus to see how things go over the next few months, and also to review past trends to get a good sense of attorney time required by the Road Commission.

Motion by Moffat to recess the Regular Meeting at 5:52 PM to open a Closed Session for the purpose of discussing written attorney/client privileged communication.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to reconvene the Regular Meeting at 6:08 PM with all Members present.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Moffat to recess the Regular Meeting at 6:08 PM for a five minute break.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Moffat, seconded by Boze to reconvene the Regular Meeting at 6:13 PM with all Members present.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to amend Policy 2015-02, and the Public Summary of FOIA Procedures and Guidelines, as follows by appointing Linnea Rader as interim FOIA coordinator, with Daniel Bishop to be appointed as FOIA coordinator upon his start date of February 3, 2020:

FOIA Procedures and Guidelines

Policy No. 2015-02

Preamble: Statement of Principles

It is the policy of Van Buren County Road Commission that all persons, except those incarcerated, consistent with the Michigan Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), are entitled to full and complete information regarding the affairs of government and the official acts of those who represent them as public officials and employees. The people shall be informed so that they fully participate in the democratic process.

The Road Commission's policy with respect to FOIA requests is to comply with State law in all respects and to respond to FOIA requests in a consistent, fair, and even-handed manner regardless of who makes such a request.

The Road Commission acknowledges that it has a legal obligation to disclose all nonexempt public records in its possession pursuant to a FOIA request. The Road Commission acknowledges that sometimes it is necessary to invoke the exemptions identified under FOIA in order to ensure the effective operation of government and to protect the privacy of individuals.

Van Buren County Road Commission will protect the public's interest in disclosure, while balancing the requirement to withhold or redact portions of certain records. The Road Commission's policy is to disclose public records consistent with and in compliance with State law.

The Road Commission Board has established the following written procedures and guidelines to implement the FOIA and will create a written public summary of the specific procedures and guidelines relevant to the general public regarding how to submit written requests to the public body and explaining how to understand a public body's written responses, deposit requirements, fee calculations, and avenues for challenge and appeal. The written public summary will be written in a manner so as to be easily understood by the general public.

Section 1: General Policies

The Road Commission Board, acting pursuant to the authority at MCL 15.236, designates ~~the Engineer-Manager~~ **Linnea Rader as the Interim FOIA Coordinator, and the Managing Director as the FOIA Coordinator, effective February 3, 2020.** He or she is authorized to accept and process written requests for the Road Commission's public records and approve denials.

If a request for a public record is received by fax or email, the request is deemed to have been received on the following business day. If a request is sent by email and delivered to a Road Commission spam or junk-mail folder, the request is not deemed received until one day after the FOIA Coordinator first becomes aware of the request. The FOIA Coordinator shall note in the FOIA log both the date the request was delivered to the spam or junk-mail folder and the date the FOIA Coordinator became aware of the request.

The FOIA Coordinator shall review Road Commission spam and junk-mail folders on a regular basis, which shall be no less than once a month. The FOIA Coordinator shall work with Road Commission Information Technology staff to develop administrative rules for handling spam and junk-mail so as to protect Road Commission systems from computer attacks which may be imbedded in an electronic FOIA request.

The FOIA Coordinator may, in his or her discretion, implement administrative rules, consistent with State law and these Procedures and Guidelines to administer the acceptance and processing of FOIA requests.

The Road Commission is not obligated to create a new public record or make a compilation or summary of information which does not already exist. Neither the FOIA Coordinator nor other Road Commission staff are obligated to provide answers to questions contained in requests for public records or regarding the content of the records themselves.

The FOIA Coordinator shall keep a copy of all written requests for public records received by the Road Commission on file for a period of at least one year.

The Road Commission will make this Procedures and Guidelines document and the Written Public Summary publicly available without charge. If it does not, the Road Commission cannot require deposits or charge fees otherwise permitted under the FOIA until it is in compliance.

A copy of this Procedures and Guidelines document and the Road Commission's Written Public Summary must be publicly available by providing free copies both in the Road Commission's response to a written request and upon request by visitors at the Road Commission's office.

Include the following if the Road Commission directly or indirectly administers or maintains an official internet presence: This Procedures and Guidelines document and the Road Commission's Written Public Summary will be maintained on the Road Commission's website at: www.VBCRC.org, so a link to those documents will be provided in lieu of providing paper copies of those documents.

Section 2: Requesting a Public Record

No specific form to submit a request for a public record is required. However the FOIA Coordinator may make available a FOIA Request Form for use by the public.

Requests to inspect or obtain copies of public records prepared, owned, used, possessed or retained by the Road Commission may be submitted on the Road Commission's FOIA Request Form, in any other form of writing (letter, fax, email, etc.), or by verbal request.

Verbal requests for records may be documented by the Road Commission on the Road Commission's FOIA Request Form.

If a person makes a verbal, non-written request for information believed to be available on the Road Commission's website, where practicable and to the best ability of the employee receiving the request, shall be informed of the pertinent website address.

A request must sufficiently describe a public record so as to enable Road Commission personnel to identify and find the requested public record.

Written requests for public records may be submitted in person or by mail to any Road Commission office. Requests may also be submitted electronically by fax and email. Upon their receipt, requests for public records shall be promptly forwarded to the FOIA Coordinator for processing.

A person may request that public records be provided on non-paper physical media, emailed or otherwise provided to him or her in digital form in lieu of paper copies. The Road Commission will comply with the request only if it possesses the necessary technological capability to provide records in the requested non-paper physical media format.

A person may subscribe to future issues of public records that are created, issued or disseminated by Van Buren County Road Commission on a regular basis. A subscription is valid for up to 6 months and may be renewed by the subscriber.

A person serving a sentence of imprisonment in a local, state or federal correctional facility is not entitled to submit a request for a public record. The FOIA Coordinator will deny all such requests.

Section 3: Processing a Request

Unless otherwise agreed to in writing by the person making the request, the Road Commission will issue a response within 5 business days of receipt of a FOIA request. If a request is received by fax, email or other electronic transmission, the request is deemed to have been received on the following business day.

The Road Commission will respond to a request in one of the following ways:

- Grant the request.
- Issue a written notice denying the request.
- Grant the request in part and issue a written notice denying in part the request.
- Issue a notice indicating that due to the nature of the request the Road Commission needs an additional 10 business days to respond for a total of no more than 15 business days. Only one such extension is permitted.
- Issue a written notice indicating that the public record requested is available at no charge on the Road Commission's website.

When a request is granted:

If the request is granted, or granted in part, the FOIA Coordinator will require that payment be made in full for the allowable fees associated with responding to the request before the public record is made available.

The FOIA Coordinator shall provide a detailed itemization of the allowable costs incurred to process the request to the person making the request.

A copy of these Procedures and Guidelines and the Written Public Summary will be provided to the requestor free of charge with the response to a written request for public records, provided however, that because these Procedures and Guidelines, and the Written Public Summary are maintained on the Road Commission's website at: www.VBCRC.org, a link to the Procedures and Guidelines and the Written Public Summary will be provided in lieu of providing paper copies of those documents.

If the cost of processing a FOIA request is \$50 or less, the requester will be notified of the amount due and where the documents can be obtained.

If the cost of processing a FOIA request is expected to exceed \$50 based on a good-faith calculation, or if the requestor has not paid in full for a previously granted request, the Road Commission will require a good-faith deposit pursuant to Section 4 of this policy before processing the request.

In making the request for a good-faith deposit the FOIA Coordinator shall provide the requestor with a detailed itemization of the allowable costs estimated to be incurred by the Road Commission to process the request and also provide a best efforts estimate of a time frame it will take the Road Commission to provide the records to the requestor. The best efforts estimate shall be nonbinding on the Road Commission, but will be made in good faith and will strive to be reasonably accurate, given the nature of the request in the particular instance, so as to provide the requested records in a manner based on the public policy expressed by Section 1 of the FOIA.

When a request is denied or denied in part:

If the request is denied or denied in part, the FOIA Coordinator will issue a Notice of Denial which shall provide in the applicable circumstance:

- An explanation as to why a requested public record is exempt from disclosure; or
- A certificate that the requested record does not exist under the name or description provided by the requestor, or another name reasonably known by the Road Commission; or
- An explanation or description of the public record or information within a public record that is separated or deleted from the public record; and
- An explanation of the person's right to submit an appeal of the denial to either the office of the Road Commission ~~Engineer-Manager~~ **FOIA Coordinator** or seek judicial review in the Van Buren County Circuit Court;
- An explanation of the right to receive attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements as well actual or compensatory damages, and punitive damages of \$1,000, should they prevail in Circuit Court.
- The Notice of Denial shall be signed by the FOIA Coordinator.

If a request does not sufficiently describe a public record, the FOIA Coordinator may, in lieu of issuing a Notice of Denial indicating that the request is deficient, seek clarification or amendment of the request by the person making the request. Any clarification or amendment will be considered a new request subject to the timelines described in this Section.

Requests to inspect public records:

The Road Commission shall provide reasonable facilities and opportunities for persons to examine and inspect public records during normal business hours. The FOIA Coordinator is authorized to promulgate rules regulating the manner in which records may be viewed so as to protect Road Commission records from loss, alteration, mutilation or destruction and to prevent excessive interference with normal Road Commission operations.

Requests for certified copies:

The FOIA Coordinator shall, upon written request, furnish a certified copy of a public record at no additional cost to the person requesting the public record.

Section 4: Fee Deposits

If the fee estimate is expected to exceed \$50.00 based on a good-faith calculation, the requestor will be asked to provide a deposit not exceeding one-half of the total estimated fee.

If a request for public records is from a person who has not paid the Road Commission in full for copies of public records made in fulfillment of a previously granted written request, the FOIA Coordinator will require a deposit of 100% of the estimated processing fee before beginning to search for a public record for any subsequent written request by that person when all of the following conditions exist:

- The final fee for the prior written request is not more than 105% of the estimated fee;
- The public records made available contained the information sought in the prior written request and remain in the Road Commission's possession;
- The public records were made available to the individual, subject to payment, within the time frame estimated by the Road Commission to provide the records;
- Ninety (90) days have passed since the FOIA Coordinator notified the individual in writing that the public records were available for pickup or mailing;
- The individual is unable to show proof of prior payment to the Road Commission; and
- The FOIA Coordinator has calculated a detailed itemization that is the basis for the current written request's increased estimated fee deposit.

The FOIA Coordinator will not require an increased estimated fee deposit if any of the following apply:

- The person making the request is able to show proof of prior payment in full to the Road Commission;
- The Road Commission is subsequently paid in full for the applicable prior written request; or
- Three hundred sixty five (365) days have passed since the person made the request for which full payment was not remitted to the Road Commission.

Section 5: Calculation of Fees

A fee may be charged for the labor cost of copying/duplication.

A fee will **not** be charged for the labor cost of search, examination, review and the deletion and separation of exempt from nonexempt information **unless** failure to charge a fee would result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission because of the nature of the request in the particular instance, and the Road Commission specifically identifies the nature of the unreasonably high costs.

Costs for the search, examination review, and deletion and separation of exempt from non-exempt information are "unreasonably high" when they are excessive and beyond the normal or usual amount for those services (Attorney General Opinion 7083 of 2001) compared to the costs of the Road Commission's usual FOIA requests, not compared to the Road Commission's operating budget. (*Bloch v. Davison Community Schools*, Michigan Court of Appeals, Unpublished, April 26, 2011)

The following factors shall be used to determine an unreasonably high cost to the Road Commission:

- Volume of the public record requested
- Amount of time spent to search for, examine, review and separate exempt from non-exempt information in the record requested.
- Whether the public records are from more than one Road Commission department or whether various Road Commission offices are necessary to respond to the request.
- The available staffing to respond to the request.
- Any other similar factors identified by the FOIA Coordinator in responding to the particular request.

The Michigan FOIA statute permits the Road Commission to charge for the following costs associated with processing a request:

- Labor costs associated with copying or duplication, which includes making paper copies, making digital copies, or transferring digital public records to non-paper physical media or through the Internet.
- Labor costs associated with searching for, locating and examining a requested public record, when failure to charge a fee will result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission.
- Labor costs associated with a review of a record to separate and delete information exempt from disclosure, when failure to charge a fee will result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission.

- The cost of copying or duplication, not including labor, of paper copies of public records. This may include the cost for copies of records already on the Road Commission’s website if you ask for the Road Commission to make copies.
- The cost of computer discs, computer tapes or other digital or similar media when the requester asks for records in non-paper physical media. This may include the cost for copies of records already on the Road Commission’s website if you ask for the Road Commission to make copies.
- The cost to mail or send a public record to a requestor.

Labor costs will be calculated based on the following requirements:

- All labor costs will be estimated and charged in 15-minute increments, with all partial time increments rounded down. If the time involved is less than 15 minutes, there will be no charge.
- Labor costs will be charged at the hourly wage of the lowest-paid Road Commission employee capable of doing the work in the specific fee category, regardless of who actually performs work.
- Labor costs will also include a charge to cover or partially cover the cost of fringe benefits.
- The Road Commission may add up to 50% to the applicable labor charge amount to cover or partially cover the cost of fringe benefits, but in no case may it exceed the actual cost of fringe benefits.
- Overtime wages will not be included in labor costs unless agreed to by the requestor; overtime costs will not be used to calculate the fringe benefit cost.
- Contracted labor costs will be charged at the hourly rate of \$48.90 (6 times the state minimum hourly wage).

The cost to provide records on non-paper physical media when so requested will be based on the following requirements:

- Computer disks, computer tapes or other digital or similar media will be at the actual and most reasonably economical cost for the non-paper media.
- This cost will only be assessed if the Road Commission has the technological capability necessary to provide the public record in the requested non-paper physical media format.
- The Road Commission will procure any non-paper media and will not accept media from the requestor in order to ensure integrity of the Road Commission’s technology infrastructure.

The cost to provide paper copies of records will be based on the following requirements:

- Paper copies of public records made on standard letter (8 ½ x 11) or legal (8 ½ x 14) sized paper will not exceed \$.10 per sheet of paper. Copies for non-standard sized sheets of paper will reflect the actual cost of reproduction.
- The Road Commission will provide records using double-sided printing, if it is cost-saving and available.

The cost to mail records to a requestor will be based on the following requirements:

- The actual cost to mail public records using a reasonably economical and justified means.
- The Road Commission may charge for the least expensive form of postal delivery confirmation.
- No cost will be made for expedited shipping or insurance unless specified by the requestor.

If the FOIA Coordinator does not respond to a written request in a timely manner, the Road Commission must:

- Reduce the labor costs by 5% for each day the Road Commission exceeds the time permitted under FOIA up to a 50% maximum reduction, if *any* of the following applies:
 - The Road Commission’s late response was willful and intentional,
 - The written request conveyed a request for information within the first 250 words of the body of a letter facsimile, email or email attachment, or
 - The written request included the words, characters, or abbreviations for “freedom of information,” “information,” “FOIA,” “copy” or a recognizable misspelling of such, or legal code reference to MCL 15. 231, et seq. or 1976 Public Act 442 on the front of an envelope or in the subject line of an email, letter or facsimile cover page.
- Fully note the charge reduction in the Detailed Itemization of Costs Form.

Section 6: Waiver of Fees

The cost of the search for and copying of a public record may be waived or reduced if in the sole judgment of the FOIA Coordinator a waiver or reduced fee is in the public interest because it can be considered as primarily benefitting the general public. The Road Commission board may identify specific records or types of records it deems should be made available for no charge or at a reduced cost.

Section 7: Discounted Fees

Indigence

The FOIA Coordinator will discount the first \$20.00 of the processing fee for a request if the person requesting a public record submits an affidavit stating that they are:

- Indigent and receiving specific public assistance, or
- If not receiving public assistance, stating facts demonstrating an inability to pay because of indigence.

An individual is not eligible to receive the waiver if:

- The requestor has previously received discounted copies of public records from the Road Commission twice during the calendar year; or
- The requestor requests information in connection with other persons who are offering or providing payment to make the request.

An affidavit is sworn statement. The FOIA Coordinator may make a Fee Waiver Affidavit Form available for use by the public.

Nonprofit organization advocating for developmentally disabled or mentally ill individuals

The FOIA Coordinator will discount the first \$20.00 of the processing fee for a request from:

- A nonprofit organization formally designated by the state to carry out activities under subtitle C of the federal developmental disabilities assistance and bill of rights act of 2000, Public Law 106-402, and the protection and advocacy for individuals with mental illness act, Public Law 99-319, or their successors, if the request meets all of the following requirements:
 - Is made directly on behalf of the organization or its clients.
 - Is made for a reason wholly consistent with the mission and provisions of those laws under section 931 of the mental health code, 1974 PA 258, MCL 330.1931.
 - Is accompanied by documentation of its designation by the state, if requested by the public body.

Section 8: Appeal of a Denial of a Public Record

When a requestor believes that all or a portion of a public record has not been disclosed or has been improperly exempted from disclosure, he or she may appeal to the Road Commission Board by filing an appeal of the denial with the office of the Road Commission ~~Engineer-Manager~~ **FOIA Coordinator**.

The appeal must be in writing, specifically state the word "appeal" and identify the reason or reasons the requestor is seeking a reversal of the denial. The Road Commission FOIA Appeal Form (To Appeal a Denial of Records), may be used.

The Road Commission Board is not considered to have received a written appeal until the first regularly scheduled Road Commission Board meeting following submission of the written appeal.

Within 10 business days of receiving the appeal the Road Commission Board will respond in writing by:

- Reversing the disclosure denial;
- Upholding the disclosure denial; or
- Reverse the disclosure denial in part and uphold the disclosure denial in part; or
- Under unusual circumstances, issue a notice extending for not more than 10 business days the period during which the Road Commission Board shall respond to the written appeal. The Road Commission Board shall not issue more than 1 notice of extension for a particular written appeal.

If the Road Commission Board fails to respond to a written appeal, or if the Road Commission Board upholds all or a portion of the disclosure denial that is the subject of the written appeal, the requesting person may seek judicial review of the nondisclosure by commencing a civil action in Circuit Court.

Whether or not a requestor submitted an appeal of a denial to the Road Commission Board, he or she may file a civil action in Van Buren County Circuit Court within 180 days after the Road Commission's final determination to deny the request.

If a court that determines a public record is not exempt from disclosure, it shall order the Road Commission to cease withholding or to produce all or a portion of a public record wrongfully withheld, regardless of the location of the public record. Failure to comply with an order of the court may be punished as contempt of court.

If a person asserting the right to inspect, copy, or receive a copy of all or a portion of a public record prevails in such an action, the court shall award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements. If the person or

Road Commission prevails in part, the court may, in its discretion, award all or an appropriate portion of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements.

If the court determines that the Road Commission has arbitrarily and capriciously violated this act by refusal or delay in disclosing or providing copies of a public record, the court shall order the Road Commission to pay a civil fine of \$1,000.00, which shall be deposited into the general fund of the state treasury. The court shall award, in addition to any actual or compensatory damages, punitive damages in the amount of \$1,000.00 to the person seeking the right to inspect or receive a copy of a public record. The damages shall not be assessed against an individual, but shall be assessed against the next succeeding public body that is not an individual and that kept or maintained the public record as part of its public function.

Section 9: Appeal of an Excessive FOIA Processing Fee

“Fee” means the total fee or any component of the total fee calculated under section 4 of the FOIA, including any deposit.

If a requestor believes that the fee charged by the Road Commission to process a FOIA request exceeds the amount permitted by state law or under this policy, he or she must first appeal to the Road Commission Board by submitting a written appeal for a fee reduction to the office of the Road Commission Managing Director.

The appeal must be in writing, specifically state the word "appeal" and identify how the required fee exceeds the amount permitted. The Road Commission FOIA Appeal Form (To Appeal an Excess Fee) may be used.

The Road Commission Board is not considered to have received a written appeal until the first regularly scheduled Road Commission Board meeting following submission of the written appeal.

Within 10 business days after receiving the appeal, the Road Commission Board will respond in writing by:

- Waiving the fee;
- Reducing the fee and issuing a written determination indicating the specific basis that supports the remaining fee;
- Upholding the fee and issuing a written determination indicating the specific basis that supports the required fee; or
- Issuing a notice detailing the reason or reasons for extending for not more than 10 business days the period during which the Road Commission Board will respond to the written appeal. The Road Commission Board shall not issue more than 1 notice of extension for a particular written appeal.

Where the Road Commission Board reduces or upholds the fee, the determination must include a certification from the Road Commission Board that the statements in the determination are accurate and that the reduced fee amount complies with its publicly available procedures and guidelines and Section 4 of the FOIA.

Within 45 days after receiving notice of the Road Commission Board's determination of an appeal, the requesting person may commence a civil action in Van Buren County Circuit Court for a fee reduction.

If a civil action is commenced against the Road Commission for an excess fee, the Road Commission is not obligated to complete the processing of the written request for the public record at issue until the court resolves the fee dispute.

An action shall not be filed in circuit court unless *one* of the following applies:

- The Road Commission does not provide for appeals of fees,
- The Road Commission Board failed to respond to a written appeal as required, or
- The Road Commission Board issued a determination to a written appeal.

If a court determines that the Road Commission required a fee that exceeds the amount permitted under its publicly available procedures and guidelines or Section 4 of the FOIA, the court shall reduce the fee to a permissible amount. Failure to comply with an order of the court may be punished as contempt of court.

If the requesting person prevails in court by receiving a reduction of 50% or more of the total fee, the court may, in its discretion, award all or an appropriate portion of reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements. The award shall be assessed against the public body liable for damages.

If the court determines that the Road Commission has arbitrarily and capriciously violated the FOIA by charging an excessive fee, the court shall order the Road Commission to pay a civil fine of \$500.00, which shall be deposited in the general fund of the state treasury. The court may also award, in addition to any actual or compensatory damages, punitive damages in the amount of \$500.00 to the person seeking the fee reduction. The fine and any damages shall not be assessed against an individual, but shall be assessed against the next succeeding public body that is not an individual and that kept or maintained the public record as part of its public function.

Section 10: Conflict with Prior FOIA Policies and Procedures; Effective Date

To the extent that these Procedures and Guidelines conflict with previous FOIA policies promulgated by Road Commission Board or the Road Commission Administration these Procedures and Guidelines are controlling. To the extent that any administrative rule promulgated by the FOIA Coordinator subsequent to the adoption of this resolution is found to be in conflict with any previous policy promulgated by the Road Commission Board or the Road Commission Administration, the administrative rule promulgated by the FOIA Coordinator is controlling.

To the extent that any provision of these Procedures and Guidelines or any administrative rule promulgated by the FOIA Coordinator pertaining to the release of public records is found to be in conflict with any State statute, the applicable statute shall control. The FOIA Coordinator is authorized to modify this policy and all previous policies adopted by the Road Commission Board or the Road Commission Administration, and to adopt such administrative rules as he or she may deem necessary, to facilitate the legal review and processing of requests for public records made pursuant to Michigan's FOIA statute, provided that such modifications and rules are consistent with State law. The FOIA Coordinator shall inform the Road Commission Board of any change these Policies and Guidelines.

These FOIA Policies and Guidelines become effective July 1, 2015.

Section 11: Appendix of Van Buren County Road Commission FOIA Forms

- Request for Public Records Form
- Notice to Extend Response Time Form
- Notice of Denial Form
- Detailed Cost Itemization Form
- Appeal of Denial of Records Form
- Appeal of Excess Fee Form

VAN BUREN COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION

Public Summary of FOIA Procedures and Guidelines

**It is the public policy of this state that all persons
(except those persons incarcerated in state or local correctional facilities)
are entitled to full and complete information regarding the affairs of government and
the official acts of those who represent them as public officials and public employees.**

The people shall be informed so that they may fully participate in the democratic process.

Consistent with the Michigan Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), Public Act 442 of 1976, the following is the Written Public Summary of the Van Buren County Road Commission's (VBCRC) FOIA Procedures and Guidelines relevant to the general public.

This is only a summary of the Road Commission's FOIA Procedures and Guidelines. For more details and information, copies of the Road Commission's FOIA Procedures and Guidelines are available at no charge at the Van Buren County Road Commission's Administrative Office, 325 West James Street, Lawrence, Michigan and on the Road Commission's website: www.VBCRC.org.

1. How do I submit a FOIA request to the Road Commission?

- A request must sufficiently describe a public record so as to enable the Road Commission to find it.
- Please include the words "FOIA" or "FOIA Request" in the request to assist the Road Commission in providing a prompt response.
- Requests to inspect or obtain copies of public records prepared, owned, used, possessed or retained by the Road Commission may be submitted on the Road Commission's FOIA Request Form, in any other form of writing (letter, fax, email, etc.), or by verbal request.
 - Any verbal request will be documented by the Road Commission on the Road Commission's FOIA Request Form.
 - No specific form to submit a written request is required. However a FOIA Request Form and other FOIA-related forms are available for your use and convenience on the Road Commission's website at www.VBCRC.org and at the Road Commission's Administrative Office.

- Written requests may be delivered to the Van Buren County Road Commission's Administrative Office in person or by mail: 325 West James Street, Post Office Box 156, Lawrence, Michigan 49064.
- Requests may be faxed to: (269) 674-3770. To ensure a prompt response, faxed requests should contain the term "FOIA" or "FOIA Request" on the first/cover page.
- Requests may be emailed to: ~~Lawrence B. Hummel, P.E., FOIA Coordinator, at larryhummel@vbrc.org~~. **Linnea Rader, Interim FOIA Coordinator, at LinneaRader@vbrc.org, and effective on February 3, 2020 to Daniel F. Bishop, FOIA Coordinator, at DanBishop@vbrc.org**. To ensure a prompt response, email requests should contain the term "FOIA" or "FOIA Request" in the subject line.

2. What kind of response can I expect to my request?

- Within 5 business days after receiving a FOIA request the Road Commission will issue a response. If a request is received by fax or email, the request is deemed to have been received on the following business day. The Road Commission will respond to your request in one of the following ways:
 - Grant the request,
 - Issue a written notice denying the request,
 - Grant the request in part and issue a written notice denying in part the request,
 - Issue a notice indicating that due to the nature of the request the Road Commission needs an additional 10 business days to respond, or
 - Issue a written notice indicating that the public record requested is available at no charge on the Road Commission's website
- If the request is granted, or granted in part, the Road Commission will ask that payment be made for the allowable fees associated with responding to the request before the public record is made available.
- If the cost of processing the request is expected to exceed \$50, or if you have not paid for a previously granted request, the Road Commission will require a deposit before processing the request.

3. What are the Road Commission's deposit requirements?

- If the Road Commission has made a good faith calculation that the total fee for processing the request will exceed \$50.00, the Road Commission will require that you provide a deposit in the amount of 50% of the total estimated fee. When the Road Commission requests the deposit, it will provide you a non-binding best efforts estimate of how long it will take to process the request after you have paid your deposit.
- If the Road Commission receives a request from a person who has not paid the Road Commission for copies of public records made in fulfillment of a previously granted written request, the Road Commission will require a deposit of 100% of the estimated processing fee before it begins to search for the public record for any subsequent written request when **all** of the following conditions exist:
 - The final fee for the prior written request is not more than 105% of the estimated fee;
 - The public records made available contained the information sought in the prior written request and remain in the Road Commission's possession;
 - The public records were made available to the individual, subject to payment, within the best effort time frame estimated by the Road Commission to provide the records;
 - Ninety (90) days have passed since the Road Commission notified the individual in writing that the public records were available for pickup or mailing;
 - The individual is unable to show proof of prior payment to the Road Commission; and
 - The Road Commission has calculated an estimated detailed itemization that is the basis for the current written request's increased fee deposit.
- The Road Commission will not require the 100% estimated fee deposit if any of the following apply:
 - The person making the request is able to show proof of prior payment in full to the Road Commission;
 - The Road Commission is subsequently paid in full for all applicable prior written requests; or
 - Three hundred sixty five (365) days have passed since the person made the request for which full payment was not remitted to the Road Commission.

4. How does the Road Commission calculate FOIA processing fees?

The Michigan FOIA statute permits the Road Commission to charge for the following costs associated with processing a request:

- Labor costs associated with copying or duplication, which includes making paper copies, making digital copies, or transferring digital public records to non-paper physical media or through the Internet.
- Labor costs associated with searching for, locating and examining a requested public record, when failure to charge a fee will result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission.
- Labor costs associated with a review of a record to separate and delete information exempt from disclosure, when failure to charge a fee will result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission.
- The cost of copying or duplication, not including labor, of paper copies of public records. This may include the cost for copies of records already on the Road Commission's website if you ask for the Road Commission to make copies.
- The cost of computer discs, computer tapes or other digital or similar media when the requester asks for records in non-paper physical media. This may include the cost for copies of records already on the Road Commission's website if you ask for the Road Commission to make copies.
- The cost to mail or send a public record to a requestor.

Labor Costs

- All labor costs will be estimated and charged in 15-minute increments, with all partial time increments rounded down. If the time involved is less than 15 minutes, there will be no charge.
- Labor costs will be charged at the hourly wage of the lowest-paid Road Commission employee capable of doing the work in the specific fee category, regardless of who actually performs work.
- Labor costs will also include a charge to cover or partially cover the cost of fringe benefits. Road Commission may add up to 50% to the applicable labor charge amount to cover or partially cover the cost of fringe benefits, but in no case may it exceed the actual cost of fringe benefits.
- Overtime wages will not be included in labor costs unless agreed to by the requestor; overtime costs will not be used to calculate the fringe benefit cost.
- Contracted labor costs will be charged at the hourly rate of \$48.90 (6 times the state minimum hourly wage)

A labor cost will not be charged for the search, examination, review and the deletion and separation of exempt from nonexempt information unless failure to charge a fee would result in unreasonably high costs to the Road Commission. Costs are unreasonably high when they are excessive and beyond the normal or usual amount for those services compared to the Road Commission's usual FOIA requests, because of the nature of the request in the particular instance. The Road Commission must specifically identify the nature of the unreasonably high costs in writing.

Copying and Duplication

The Road Commission must use the most economical method for making copies of public records, including using double-sided printing, if cost-saving and available.

Non-paper Copies on Physical Media

- The cost for records provided on non-paper physical media, such as computer discs, computer tapes or other digital or similar media will be at the actual and most reasonably economical cost for the non-paper media.
- This cost will be charged only if the Road Commission has the technological capability necessary to provide the public record in the requested non-paper physical media format.

Paper Copies

- Paper copies of public records made on standard letter (8 ½ x 11) or legal (8 ½ x 14) sized paper will not exceed \$.10 per sheet of paper.
- Copies for non-standard sized sheets will paper will reflect the actual cost of reproduction.

Mailing Costs

- The cost to mail public records will use a reasonably economical and justified means.
- The Road Commission may charge for the least expensive form of postal delivery confirmation.
- No cost will be made for expedited shipping or insurance unless you request it.

Waiver of Fees

The cost of the search for and copying of a public record may be waived or reduced if in the sole judgment of the FOIA Coordinator a waiver or reduced fee is in the public interest because it can be considered as primarily benefitting the general public. The Road Commission board may identify specific records or types of records it deems should be made available for no charge or at a reduced cost.

5. How do I qualify for an indigence discount on the fee?

The Road Commission will discount the first \$20.00 of fees for a request if you submit an affidavit stating that you are:

- Indigent and receiving specific public assistance; or
- If not receiving public assistance, stating facts demonstrating an inability to pay because of indigence.

You are **not** eligible to receive the \$20.00 discount if you:

- Have previously received discounted copies of public records from the Road Commission twice during the calendar year; or
- Are requesting information on behalf of other persons who are offering or providing payment to you to make the request.

An affidavit is sworn statement. For your convenience, the Road Commission has provided an Affidavit of Indigence for the waiver of FOIA fees on the back of the Road Commission FOIA Request Form, which is available on the Road Commission's website: www.VBCRC.org.

6. May a nonprofit organization receive a discount on the fee?

A nonprofit organization advocating for developmentally disabled or mentally ill individuals that is formally designated by the state to carry out activities under subtitle C of the federal developmental disabilities assistance and bill of rights act of 2000, Public Law 106-402, and the protection and advocacy for individuals with mental illness act, Public Law 99-319, may receive a \$20.00 discount if the request meets all of the following requirements in the Act:

○

Is made directly on behalf of the organization or its clients.

- Is made for a reason wholly consistent with the mission and provisions of those laws under section 931 of the mental health code, 1974 PA 258, MCL 330.1931.
- Is accompanied by documentation of its designation by the state, if requested by the public body.

7. How may I challenge the denial of a public record or an excessive fee?

Appeal of a Denial of a Public Record

If you believe that all or a portion of a public record has not been disclosed or has been improperly exempted from disclosure, you may appeal to the Road Commission Board by filing a written appeal of the denial with the office of the Road Commission ~~Engineer-Manager~~, or FOIA Coordinator.

The appeal must be in writing, specifically state the word “appeal,” and identify the reason or reasons you are seeking a reversal of the denial. You may use the Road Commission FOIA Appeal Form (To Appeal a Denial of Records), which is available on the Road Commission’s website: www.VBCRC.org.

The Road Commission Board is not considered to have received a written appeal until the first regularly scheduled Road Commission Board meeting following submission of the written appeal. Within 10 business days of receiving the appeal the Road Commission Board will respond in writing by:

- Reversing the disclosure denial;
- Upholding the disclosure denial; or
- Reverse the disclosure denial in part and uphold the disclosure denial in part.

Whether or not you submitted an appeal of a denial to the Road Commission Board, you may file a civil action in Van Buren County Circuit Court within 180 days after the Road Commission's final determination to deny your request. If you prevail in the civil action the court will award you reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs and disbursements. If the court determines that the Road Commission acted arbitrarily and capriciously in refusing to disclose or provide a public record, the court shall award you damages in the amount of \$1,000.

Appeal of an Excess FOIA Processing Fee

If you believe that the fee charged by the Road Commission to process your FOIA request exceeds the amount permitted by state law, you must first appeal to the Road Commission Board by filing a written appeal for a fee reduction to the office of the Road Commission’s FOIA Coordinator.

The appeal must specifically state the word “appeal” and identify how the required fee exceeds the amount permitted. You may use the Road Commission FOIA Appeal Form (To Appeal an Excess Fee), which is available at the Van Buren County Road Commission’s Administrative Office and on the Road Commission’s website: www.VBCRC.org.

The Road Commission Board is not considered to have received a written appeal until the first regularly scheduled Road Commission Board meeting following submission of the written appeal. Within 10 business days after receiving the appeal, the Road Commission Board will respond in writing by:

- Waiving the fee;
- Reducing the fee and issue a written determination indicating the specific basis that supports the remaining fee;
- Upholding the fee and issue a written determination indicating the specific basis that supports the required fee; or
- Issuing a notice detailing the reason or reasons for extending for not more than 10 business days the period during which the Road Commission Board will respond to the written appeal.

Within 45 days after receiving notice of the Road Commission Board’s determination of the processing fee appeal, you may commence a civil action in Van Buren County Circuit Court for a fee reduction. If you prevail in the civil action by receiving a reduction of 50% or more of the total fee, the court may award all or appropriate amount of reasonable attorneys’ fees, costs and disbursements. If the court determines that the Road Commission acted arbitrarily and capriciously by charging an excessive fee, court may also award you punitive damages in the amount of \$500.

ADOPTED: 06-17-2015

REVISED: 01-23-2020

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Moffat to authorize the Board Chairman and the Managing Director, or his or her designee, as the sole contacts with the law firm of Miller Canfield. Motion was seconded by Boze.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Motion by Boze that Miller Canfield review all Road Commission current Policies and bring back any recommendations back to the Board as they deem appropriate. Discussion ensued regarding the number of policies in place, and the time and attorney fees that would be incurred reviewing each and every policy. Motion was withdrawn by Boze.

Kinney requested that when Dan Bishop comes on board that he write a letter to Varnum thanking them for their service and authorizing them to transfer files to Leigh Schultz at Miller Canfield. Motion by Burleson, seconded by Boze.

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Commissioner Moffat reviewed his correspondence to Finance Director Rader requesting reimbursement of legal fees he personally paid to Miller Canfield for Leigh Schultz's review and preparation of documents relating to the termination of Lawrence Hummel based upon Moffat's statutory duties as a Road Commissioner. Boze explained that he felt Moffat was acting on his own and believes that the Board Attorney should have been used. Discussion ensued regarding use of Act 51 funds. Attorney Schultz will provide a legal opinion in this regard. Motion by Moffat to request reimbursement of \$1,662.50 from the Van Buren County Road Commission. Roll call vote:

Moffat: Aye
Boze: Nay
Burleson: Nay
Kinney: Aye
MOTION NOT CARRIED.

Finance Director's Update:

- Written update provided in Board packet. General discussion regarding our final 2019 revenues, which we still do not have from the State.

Motion by Boze to approve the following Vouchers:

Voucher #2133	\$135,578.12
Voucher #2134	\$147,525.63

AYES: 4
NAYS: 0
ABSENT: 1
MOTION CARRIED.

Anttila provided an update for the Board regarding the award of the bids as follows:

- CR374 from Red Arrow Highway to 45th Street, Lawrence/Paw Paw Township, to Brad Thomas Excavating, in the best interest of the Van Buren County Road Commission. Tree Tech was the lowest bidding contractor; however, Anttila explained the conversations he had with the representative of Tree Tech and the apprehension Tree Tech had with the CR374 project given the size and his low bid,

- which was missing some items.
- CR653 from Red Arrow Highway to I-94, Antwerp Township, to Tree Tech, in the best interest of the Van Buren County Road Commission. Tree Tech is the low bidding contractor and they felt comfortable with their bid for this smaller project. This award is contingent upon Tree Tech providing a bond for the work to be held until the project is complete.

The Board reviewed the Rules for Conducting Meetings of the Board, Policy No. 2012-01. Motion by Boze, seconded by Moffat to revise the Policy as follows:

**RULES FOR CONDUCTING MEETINGS OF THE
BOARD OF COUNTY ROAD COMMISSIONERS, AND ACTING IN THE CAPACITY
OF THE BOARD OF PUBLIC WORKS, AND THE
BOARD OF COUNTY PARK TRUSTEES FOR VAN BUREN COUNTY**

Policy No. 2012-01

I. Meetings.

The business which the Board of County Road Commissioners may perform in its capacity, and/or in the capacity of the Board of Public Works and the Board of County Park Trustees for Van Buren County (the "Board") shall be conducted at a public meeting held in compliance with the Michigan Open Meetings Act, Act No. 267 of the Public Acts of 1976.

Each respective Board may meet in a closed session only as set forth in Section 15.268, Closed Sessions; permissible purposes, of the Open Meetings Act, Act 267 of 1976.

A. Board of County Road Commissioners.

1. Except as otherwise required by law, regular meetings of the Board shall be held on the first and third Thursdays of each month at 5:00 PM, or as otherwise noticed.
2. All regular meetings of the Board shall be held in the Board of County Road Commissioners' Room at 325 West James Street, Lawrence, MI, unless otherwise specified.
3. The Board shall hold an annual meeting on the first Thursday of January, or as otherwise noticed, to nominate and elect a Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, Chief Fiscal Officer, Board Secretary, and Board Attorney.

B. The Board of County Road Commissioners, acting in its capacity as the County Board of Public Works under the provisions of Act 185 of the Public Acts of 1957, as amended.

1. Except as otherwise required by law, regular meetings of the Board of Public Works shall be held on the first Thursday of March, June, September and December at 5:00 PM, or as otherwise noticed.
2. The Board shall hold an annual meeting on the first Thursday of March, or as otherwise noticed.

C. The Board of County Road Commissioners, acting in its capacity as the County Board of Park Trustees.

1. There is currently no county park/open space property being managed by Parks – only Buildings and Grounds Department.
2. The Board of Park Trustees shall meet as necessary, and as required by the Open Meetings Act.

II. Order of Business for Regular Meetings.

The order of business, unless otherwise approved, shall be as follows:

- A. Call to Order
- B. Additions/Deletions to the Agenda
- C. Approval of Agenda
- D. Approval of Minutes
- E. (First) Public Comment
- F. Legal Issues
- F.G Budget Review and Finance Director's Update
- G.H Items for Consideration/Discussion
- H.I Staff Updates
- ~~I. Legal Issues~~
- J. Commissioner Updates and Reports
- K. Executive Session
- L. (Second) Public Comment
- M. Adjournment

III. Rules for General Conduct and Procedure.

A. All meetings shall be conducted in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order; specifically as set forth in "Procedure in Small Boards", which state: In a board meeting where there are not more than about a dozen members present, some of the formality that is necessary in a large assembly would hinder business. The rules governing such meetings are different from the rules that hold in other assemblies, in the following respects:

- Members may raise a hand instead of standing when seeking to obtain the floor, and may remain seated while making motions or speaking.
- Motions need not be seconded.
- There is no limit to the number of times a member can speak to a debatable question. *Appeals, however, are debatable under the regular rules – that is, each member (except the chair) can speak only once in debate on them, while the chair may speak twice.
- Informal discussion of a subject is permitted while no motion is pending.
- When a proposal is perfectly clear to all present, a vote can be taken without a motion's having been introduced. Unless agreed to by unanimous consent, however, all proposed actions must be approved by vote under the same rules as in larger meetings, except that a vote can be taken initially by a show of hands, which is often a better method in small meetings.
- The chairman need not rise while putting questions to a vote.
- If the chairman is a member, he may, without leaving the chair, speak in informal discussions and in debate, and vote on all questions.**
- Allow all members to vote on any issue, even if a member was not present during the discussion of said issue at a previous meeting.

* However, motions to close or limit debate, including motions to limit the number of times a member can speak to a question, are in order even in meetings of a small board (but not in meetings of a committee) although occasions where they are necessary or appropriate may be rarer than in larger assemblies.

** Informal discussions may be initiated by the chairman himself, which, in effect, enables the chairman to submit his own proposals without formally making a motion (although he has the right to make a motion if he wishes).

B. Citizen Participation.

1. Any citizen may comment upon agenda items prior to the taking of a vote thereon, or during Public Comment.
2. Speakers other than Commissioners shall limit their presentation to three (3) minutes; or, if an individual is representing a group, to no more than five (5) minutes of speaking time.
3. Any Commissioner is authorized to require individuals to identify themselves if they wish to speak at a meeting.
4. These rules limiting the period of Public Comment may not be applied in a manner that denies a person the right to address the Board, such as by limiting all Public Comment to a half-hour period.
5. The Chairman is authorized to encourage groups to designate one or more individuals to speak on their behalf to avoid cumulative comment.
6. No one may be required to register or otherwise provide their name or other information, or otherwise fulfill a condition precedent to attend a public meeting.
7. No one may be excluded from a meeting otherwise open to the public except for a breach of the peace actually committed at the meeting.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Discussion regarding CRA Board of Directors' Ballot. Motion by Boze, seconded by Burluson to mark the candidate John M. Hunt, Huron, on said Ballot.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

Rader reviewed the attachment to Antwerp Township's letter which showed projects made possible from 2016-2020 by the county-wide road millage, allocations to Antwerp Township for 2016-2020, county-wide road millage distributions to the Village of Lawton and the Village of Mattawan by the County Treasurer, and other information showing that the county-wide road millage brought road work exceeding what has been collected from the residents of Antwerp Township for 2016-2020. The Board agreed by general consensus to include the attachment with the letter to Antwerp Township board members.

Operations Director's Update:

- Written update was provided in Board packet.

Kinney questioned the situation on Lake Brownwood wherein he was contacted by Supervisor Stull. Brucks provided an update in this regard.

Burluson discussed the Snow Plow Policy and overtime procedures. Burluson believes 8" of snowfall is inappropriate and should be adjusted. Rader continues to track snow fall over weekends to provide clarity to the Board. Dan Bishop assisted in providing additional clarity to the Board. Bishop will continue to review and assess decisions being made and make any recommendations to the Board so as to best respond to the concerns of the motoring public.

Highway Engineer's Update:

- Written update was provided in Board packet.
- All numbers look good from earlier bid openings.

- Porter Township approved a project on 80th Avenue. Bids will be opened at our next Regular meeting.
- RTF meeting yesterday.

Interim Directors' Update:

- County Commission's Resolution authorizing the Road Commission to appoint a Board Secretary.
- County Board considering \$500 annual increase for Road Commission Board Chairperson at their next meeting.
- Update regarding upcoming trainings/conferences.
- Public Information Meeting on January 30th at 6 PM, Van Buren Conference Center.
- Southwest District Council meeting on February 10th in Allegan County.
- Managing Director begins employment on February 3rd.

Commissioner Updates and Reports:

- Askew: Written update in preliminary Board packet.
- Boze: Written update in preliminary Board packet.
- Burleson: Attended Bloomingdale Township on the 15th, and Columbia Township on the 21st.
- Kinney: Written update in preliminary Board packet. Service Requests discussed, which were brought by Arlington Township. Updates provided by staff.
- Moffat: Antwerp Township on the 14th.

The Chairman opened the meeting to Public Comment. Linda Norton, Columbia Township, provided information about winter operations that she observed earlier in the week.

Dan Bishop stated that he was reading through all of the Road Commission materials that he had been given in preparation for his start date.

Motion by Moffat, seconded by Boze to adjourn the Call of the Chair at 7:16 PM.

AYES: 4
 NAYS: 0
 ABSENT: 1
 MOTION CARRIED.

 Secretary

 Chairman